

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,094	07/01/2003		Isaac Weiser	03-11987	5817
25189	7590	02/02/2006		EXAMINER	
CISLO & T			GARCIA, ERNESTO		
SUITE 900					PAPER NUMBER
SANTA MC	NICA, CA	A 90401-1211		3679	

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/612,094	WEISER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ernesto Garcia	3679	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wilt, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	ION. se timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ja 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-16 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-3 and 12-16 is/are solution 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 4-7 and 9-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	withdrawn from consideration		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the orange Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the orange representation is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Appli rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Sumr Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/20/2006 has been entered.

Restriction

Claims 1-3 and 12-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicants timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on November 1, 2004.

In respect to the additional restriction between the novelty system and the method of constructing the novelty mailed on 1/23/2006, the restriction no longer applies as the method has been amended to a kit for making the novelty assembly as claimed. Accordingly, the amendment received on 1/23/06 affirms that the novelty system has been elected. Further, the system and the kit have been examined.

Claim Objections

Claims 4-7, 9, and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities:

regarding claim 4, the limitation "said body" in line 14 should be --appendage-- as the another end of the flexible connecting member 21, i.e., the coil spring, is connected

to the appendage and not the body (see Fig. 2);

regarding claims 5-7 and 9, --system-- needs to be inserted after "novelty" in line 1;

regarding claim 9, --one or more-- should be inserted before "appendages" in line 2; and,

regarding claim 10, "having" in line 7 should be --comprising-- since it would appear that a flexible connecting member, a coil spring, and connecting structures are required in the claim when the drawings only show a flexible connecting member 21 and a connecting structure 10, and "structure" in line 16 should be --structures--. For purposes of examining the instant invention, the examiner has assumed these

corrections have been made. Further, claim 9 depends from cancelled claim 8, the examiner has assumed claim 9 being dependent from claim 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 6, 7, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 6, the limitation "configured to connect to said one or more appendages" in lines 2-3 makes unclear what configuration of the connecting structure is required to allow the connecting structure to connect to the one or more appendages.

Regarding claim 7, the limitation "configured to secure to said second end of said connecting structure" in lines 2-3 makes unclear what configuration of the enclosure is required to allow the enclosure to secure to the second end of the connecting structure.

Regarding claim 10, the limitation "configured for connection of said appendages to said body" in line 8 makes unclear what configuration of the connecting structures is required to allow the connecting structures for connection of the appendages to the body. Further, it is unclear how the recitation "positioning thereby a plurality of prongs

flexible coupled to a first end of each said connecting structures" in lines 15-16 further limits the kit.

Regarding claim 11, it is unclear how the recitation "connecting structures are inserted into said apertures" in lines 2-3 further limits the kit. It appears that once the connecting structures are inserted into the aperture, the components are no longer part of a kit but rather an assembly.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Higdon, 5,375,363.

Regarding claim 4, Higdon discloses, in Figures 5, 6, and 10, a body 12, an appendage 16, and a connecting structure 354. The body 12 has apertures 366 (Fig. 10), A1 (see marked-up attachment; Figure 6). The appendage 16 corresponds to one of the apertures 366. The appendage 16 includes at least one flexible connecting member 30. The connecting member 30 comprises a coil spring. The connecting structure 354 comprises a post A2 (see marked-up attachment; Figure 5) with prongs 364 (Fig. 10) flexibly coupled to the first end of the post. The prongs 364 extend toward the body 12. One end of the flexible connecting member 30 is connected to the

connecting structure **354** and another end of the connecting member **30** is connected to the appendage **16**.

Regarding claim 9, the connecting member **30** has a spring constant that allows the appendage **16** to be freely movable with respect to the body responsive to wind.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higdon, 5,375,363, as applied to claim 4, and further in view of Wiser et al., 6,599,160.

Regarding claim 5, Higdon, as discussed, fails to disclose the one appendage comprising a wing structure. Weiser et al. teach an appendage comprising a wing structure to make a decoy as close to impart life-like movement to a bird. Therefore, as taught by Weiser et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the one appendage comprise a wing structure to make the goose of Higdon life-like.

Regarding claim 6, Higdon, as modified, discloses the connecting structure **354** includes a second end **56** to connect to the appendage **16**.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,094

Art Unit: 3679

Regarding claim 7, Higdon, as modified, discloses the appendage **16** comprises an enclosure **48** configured to secure to the second end **56** of the connecting structure **354**.

Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wiser et al., 6,599,160, and further in view of Higdon, 5,375,363.

Regarding claim 10, Wiser et al. disclose, in Figure 2, a kit comprising detached novelty portions 4,10,12. The novelty portions comprise a body 4 and appendages 10,12. The body 4 has apertures 16. The appendages 10,12 include at least one flexible connecting member 14 comprising a coil spring extending therefrom. The appendages 10,12 are secured upon assembly. However, the kit fails to include connecting structures. Higdon teaches, in Figure 10, a kit having a connecting structure 354 to connect an appendage 316 to a body 312. However, Higdon fails to disclose more than one connecting structure. Applicant should not that the courts have held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include more than one connecting structure in the kit of Wiser et al. to connect the appendages to the body instead of extending the coil spring through the body (see Weiser et al.; col. 4, lines 7-16). *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

Regarding claim 11, given the modification, the connecting structures will be inserted into the apertures instead of the coil springs extending through the body.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 4-7 and 9-11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ernesto Garcia whose telephone number is 571-272-7083. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:30-5:30. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached at 571-272-7087.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,094

Art Unit: 3679

094 Page 9

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

E.G.

January 26, 2006

Attachment: one marked-up page of Higdon, 5,375,363

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Janiel P Stodola

Application/Control Number: 10/612,094

Art Unit: 3679

Higdon, 5,375,363

